

"We bring it all together"

STEEL ERECTORS ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA

Job Hazard Analysis--Prevention Is Better Than a Cure...and an Accident, and a Citation, and a Lawsuit

By Kevin O'Shea, Mastclimbers, LLC in Atlanta

Reprinted with permission of the author



I recently conducted a webinar for owners and users of mast climbing work platforms (MCWP), and aerial work platforms (AWP) dealing with ways to reduce liability.

One of the terms I used was the Job Hazard Analysis (JHA), and, after the webinar, there were a number of questions about the JHA: 'When should I do one'? 'Do I have to do one'? 'I thought the GC did that'? 'How do I do one'?

Everyone had heard of the term, but for most that was the end of the conversation.

It was obvious from the questions that there was an element of confusion about the JHA, and that confusion could be costly. There are extremely good and important reasons why you have to complete a JHA, least of which is to protect you from liability post-accident, and the most important of which is to prevent the accident in the first place.

Increasingly on projects the requirement for a JHA arises. Contractors and owners of AWP's and MCWP's have a tough time with this request because, they say, 'it has never traditionally been a requirement', and even if it was they 'wouldn't really know how to go about it'.

The answer to those questions is:

It has <u>always</u> been a requirement and, You really need to find out quickly how to compile one.

Most contractors and equipment owners can tell about some of the OSHA requirements which affect the equipment's use. They know about Subpart M (Fall Protection) and probably know about 1926.454 (Training), and there are regular citations written for non-compliance to these sections. However, most don't see the relevance of the 5(A)1 'General Duty Clause' to AWP and MCWP use.



"We bring it all together"

STEEL ERECTORS ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA

There are many citations written every month for non-compliance to the General Duty Clause which involve the use of AWP's or MCWP's.

The General Duty Clause says:

Each employer shall furnish to his/her employee(s) employment and a place of employment which are free from **recognized hazards** that are causing or are likely to cause death or serious physical harm.

The phrase "recognized hazards" is key. So, what's a "recognized hazard"? A hazard is recognized if the employer's INDUSTRY recognizes it. So, if the AWP or MCWP industry "recognizes a hazard" the employer has to protect the employee from it.

Where are these "recognized hazards"? Recognized hazards can be found in the relevant ANSI Standard for the equipment, manufacturer's manuals, and by conducting a Job Hazard Analysis.

ANSI A92.5 – Boom-Supported Elevating Work Platforms, as with other ANSI standards contains language to this effect. For example, in section "7.8 Work Place Inspection," ANSI lists a number of "recognized hazards" that one should be looking for during the work place inspection.

The final item on the ANSI Recognized Hazards list is "Other possible unsafe conditions." This is where the JHA is vital.

The "Qualified" (OSHA definition) person should conduct a pre-use inspection of the job site, looking for evidence of "recognized" and "other possible" hazards. Recognized hazards are usually a result of previous incidents which have prompted the industry to include them in the ANSI list. "Recognized Hazards and Other Possible Unsafe Conditions" refers to job-specific hazards which will require some form of control and/or training to reduce them to a safe level. An example of this might be the presence of overhead steel beams which the platform of the machine has to maneuver in between. Extra

ANSI A92.5 – Recognized Hazards

- Drop-offs or holes, including those concealed by water, ice, mud etc.
- Slopes
- Bumps and floor obstructions
- Debris
- Overhead obstructions and electrical conductors
- Hazardous locations and atmospheres (reference ANSI/NFPA 505-1996)
- Inadequate surface and support to withstand all load forces imposed by the aerial platform in all operating configurations
- Wind and weather conditions
- Presence of unauthorized persons
- Other possible unsafe conditions.

Operator training and the use of an "involuntary operation prevention system," to prevent the Operator from being crushed between the platform guardrails and the steel beams, would be among the "control measures" used to ensure that this type of maneuver was safely done. The responsibility for the identification of these hazards falls to the Employer.



The example here shows a "job survey" for the proposed provision of boom lifts for a glass job. The "qualified person," having completed a comprehensive survey, has identified a potential hazard where overhead power lines may be in close proximity to the AWP equipment.

The risk needs to be assessed in order to determine if it is a danger and, if so, what action should be taken to eliminate the risk.

Use of a simple risk analysis matrix is a good way to consistently assess and identified hazards.

"We bring it all together"

STEEL ERECTORS ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA

JOB SURVEY FORM - AERIAL WORK PLATFORMS

General Deta	alla:	Structure Details:	Structure Details:				
Project	New Office Block 5/4/2010	Height Length:	75'	WALK THE JOB			
Date:			4 sides @ 225' each	ASSESS ALL THE RISK			
Author:	J. Smith	Type of construction:	Concrete structure	PLAN FOR SAFE USE			
Customer:	Bulid-It	Duration:	16 weeks	ASSESS FOR TRAINING			
Type of work	Glass	# machines:	6	DOCUMENT			
Hazard Cheo	ck List						
Check groun	d conditions for.	Comments/methods	for risk reduction				
Holes		Holes in travel path - GC has agreed to backfill and compact.					
Bumps or de	pressions						
Debris							
Overhead ob	structions						
Electrical line	is .	Power lines - Find vo	itage and determine approach	distance from ANSI			
Limited visibl	Ity areas						
Presence of							
Ground stabl	2.25						
Underground	-						
	other equipment						
and the second	unauthorized use	<u> </u>					
	ossible hazards:	1182					
Overhead cu		Over Apphiance outline	overhead, GC to co-ordinate	trades to minimize dek			
Overnead cu	Lang	Oxy-Aberylene Guturi	overnead, GC to co-ordinate	e trades to minimize risk			
		8.					
Equipment S	specification:		Training/Familiarization:	5			
Type:	Articulate	d booms	Is Operator Training Requi	red? Yes - 12-14 people			
Height: 90 FT Power Option: Gas/Diesel Axle: Oscillating Drive Options: Four wheel			Notes: Training and familiarization is required for 12-14				
		people. Training should include specific approach					
			Information with regard to the overhead power lines on th				
Steer Options: Two wheels		el steer	backflied areas are compacted and overhead outling taking place above awp's.				
Number of u	inits: Four		taking place above awp s.				

ANALYSIS MATRIX FOR IDENTIFIED RISK - AERIAL WORK PLATFORMS

		TYPE OF INJURY WHICH IS LIKELY TO OCCUR						
		1	2	3	4			
	PROBABILITY	MINOR INJURY (e.g. small cut)	SIGNIFICANT INJURY	SERIOUS	INCAPACITY OR POSSIBLE FATALITY			
Α	POSSIBLE BUT UNLIKELY	LOW RISK	LOW RISK	MED. RISK	HIGH RISK			
в	POSSIBLE	LOW RISK	MED. RISK	MED. RISK	HIGH RISK			
c	PROBABLE	MED. RISK	MED. RISK	HIGH RISK	HIGH RISK			
D	VERY LIKELY	MED. RISK	MED. RISK	HIGH RISK	HIGH RISK			
	LOW RISK	May require warning s Will require training, o Whatever combinatior	r guarding, or both, or	r other forms of risk	reduction.			
	HIGH RISK	Prevent or discontinue						

The matrix vertical tab above shows A-D levels of probability that the identified hazard might become a reality. It ranges from 'Possible but unlikely' to 'Very likely'

The horizontal tab showing 1-4 indicates the level of injury likely to occur if the hazard should become an accident. It ranges from 'Minor injury' to 'Incapacity or Possible Fatality'.



"We bring it all together"

STEEL ERECTORS ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA

Taking the example of 'Overhead power lines' from the JHA the individual who has conducted the JHA has assessed the probability as A-'Possible' and the level of injury as 4 – Incapacity or possible fatality'.

This translates to a 'High Risk' hazard. The action required is two-fold. The hazard needs to be prevented or discontinued. Alternatively it could be revised or replaced to achieve a 'Medium or Low' rating, from where it can be controlled by training or guarding or other means.

The solution to this hazard might be:

- 1. Find out voltage of lines.
- 2. Refer to ANSI Approach Distance Table.
- 3. If boom lift proximity at any point of use is outside the approach distance, then training, physical barriers and signage could be used as a combined method of acceptable abatement.
- 4. If boom lift proximity at any point of use is inside the approach distance, then the power will require to be turned off or the use of the boom lift should be restricted through the use of physical barriers and signage from encroachment into the unsafe area.

Points 3 and 4 may restrict the movement of the boom into all areas it needs to get to. This is obviously a productivity problem, and there is the potential for operators, even after training, to try to get "just a bit further" to get the job done quickly. So, for this reason, a solution providing the required access is preferable.

A third option could be the best solution:

- Find out the voltage of the lines
- Co-ordinate with Power Company and GC to install 'sleeves' or 'blankets' over the power lines, to reduce the voltage, therefore decreasing the approach distance.
- Then control this distance with physical barriers, training and signage.

This allows ALL the work to be done safely and under controlled circumstances.

This is the best possible solution, providing a safe working environment, productivity and a process of analysis which will stand up to scrutiny.

Creating your own Job Survey Sheet and Risk Analysis Matrix, which are then used by a "qualified person" to identify and abate all the hazards on the job site, shows that you take your responsibilities seriously, it shows that you take the welfare of your employees seriously and, if the worst still happens, post accident it shows that you took "suitable and sufficient" means to protect your workforce.

Kevin O'Shea is the director of training and safety at Mastclimbers, LLC in Atlanta. He has been in the powered access business for 29 years, working with JLG, SGB, Lavendon and Mastclimbers LTD UK (now Harsco). O'Shea serves as Chairman of IPAF's North American Council, Chairman of the SAIA's MCWP Committee, is a member of the SAIA/OSHA Alliance Team and has won various awards over the years, including: IPAF Safety Champion 2009 and 2010; SAIA Council Chairperson of the Year 2009 and SAIA Coupling Pin Award 2010.